

Journal of Tax Credits

Decreased Competition from Home Ownership in Increasing Interest Rate Environment

Interest rates and home prices have continued to rise, while existing home sales decline, making a case for strong and stable demand for multifamily rentals.

Page 31

How ESG Investing, CRA Regulation Intersect

Investors can find significant overlap between environmental, social and governance investing and Community Reinvestment Act requirements.

Valuation ISSUE

Veteran Supportive Housing Absorption Lags Behind LIHTC Developments, Despite Demand

Multiple factors affect absorption rates at developments with set-asides for VASH and PBV units for persons experiencing h<mark>omel</mark>essness.

Page 36

Valuation Laws Vary by State, In Some Cases Creating a Disincentive for LIHTC **Development or Renovation**

> How states assess property taxes can significantly incentivize or deter LIHTC development.

Proposed Rule Would Alter Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing Guidance Again

NICK DECICCO, SENIOR WRITER, NOVOGRADAC

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) published in the Feb. 9 Federal Register a proposed rule altering the implementation of the Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (AFFH) mandate launched 55 years ago in the Fair Housing Act of 1968.

Experts said the proposed rule is a streamlining and simplification of details of the rule introduced in 2015 under President Barack Obama's administration.

The AFFH mandate has since been a political football for both successive administrations. In 2020, under President Donald Trump's regime, HUD struck down the 2015 rule as "ineffective, highly prescriptive and effectively discouraged the production of affordable housing," according to a 2020 press release.

The proposal has gone to great lengths to restore and improve upon the spirit of the 2015 rule, said Jennifer Schwartz, director of tax and housing advocacy with the National Council of State Housing Agencies (NCSHA). Schwartz was one of several housing leaders who expressed optimism about the latest proposed rule, saying some of the red flags for NCSHA in the 2015 rule were alleviated.

"I'm glad they're moving forward with this," Schwartz said. "While I am still waiting for more input from NCSHA's state [housing finance agency] members, it is clear that HUD has considered and tried to address many of the concerns that NCSHA and other organizations raised about the burden of implementing the 2015 rule. It made me feel like they're really coming at this from the perspective of wanting to make this work."

Diane Glauber, director of the Fair Housing and Community Development Project for the Lawyers Committee of Civil Rights, echoed Schwartz's thoughts.

"They did listen to some of the complaints about the last rule and have improved it and at the same time, made it more streamlined and easier for jurisdictions to prepare an equity plan," Glauber said.

Streamlining

Several sources who spoke to the Novogradac Journal of Tax Credits for this article about the proposed AFFH rule praised HUD for its efforts to streamline the equity and community plan processes.

Amy Glassman, a partner at the nationwide law firm Ballard Spahr, advises developers/owners and public housing authorities (PHAs) on fair housing and building new or preserving affordable housing developments. She expressed mixed thoughts about the rule itself, but was pleased to see the streamlining efforts.

"The amount of data and analysis required to complete planning was very concerning," under the 2015 rule, Glassman said, because it created an undue burden on developers. "I appreciate the work HUD has done to streamline what is required for the equity plans." Schwartz said HUD took care in the proposed rule to coordinate equity plans with community plans rather than making the process duplicative. Some of the concerns NCSHA raised concerning the 2015 rule included the need to acknowledge the differences between state and local governments and their abilities to best assess the needs in a particular geographical area. One way the proposed rule seeks to improve the equity plan process for states is by allowing them to analyze fair housing issues on a county-by-county basis instead of by neighborhood or Census tract.

"This to me showed that HUD intends to treat states as states and not trying to fit a square peg into round hole," Schwartz said. "HUD specifically seeks input into implementation at the state-level, so they are clearly trying to grapple with the differences between the types of jurisdictions that administer HUD programs."

Community Engagement

Ed Gramlich, senior adviser for the National Low Income Housing Coalition, was encouraged by the emphasis on community engagement throughout the proposed rule, including the stipulation to hold multiple community meetings at varying times of day and at different locations.

Furthermore, the proposed rule calls for annual engagement meetings with the public to provide feedback as to how jurisdictions or PHAs are meeting their goals.

"That really says you've got to get out into the community and have these meetings," Gramlich said. "It's a tremendous expansion of genuine public engagement."

Gramlich said the guidance may serve to reach what HUD is defining as underserved communities, including protected characteristics within protected classes such as members of the LGBTQ+ community, domestic violence survivors, people with criminal records, those who have experienced homelessness and more.

"You have to figure out ways to engage those populations that have unique circumstances," Gramlich said.

Gramlich also appreciated seeing submitted equity plans, major communications between HUD and jurisdictions and PHAs, as well as annual progress evaluations will be posted on the HUD website in a further effort toward transparency. Gramlich said this has the added bonus of allowing PHAs and jurisdictions to see what each other is doing and share information.

Glauber, too, likes the community engagement elements. "There's a greater emphasis on goal setting, which should be helpful," Glauber said.

Data and Difficulties

Schwartz said a concern she hopes to address before adoption of a final rule is a desire to see HUD update its data and mapping tool.

"I think that is really one of the important details we all understand because, do to this properly, we really need good data," Schwartz said. "In some cases, that's difficult. In rural areas, it's hard to get data that's statistically significant."

Schwartz said with data being such an important driver, followers of the rule need to be able to answer questions for the mapping tool with information either provided by HUD or data that the program participant already has available so that they do not need to collect new data to conduct the analysis for the Equity Plan.

"If the data HUD provides isn't enough and the grantee doesn't have supplemental data, that could require significant new research," Schwartz said. "We don't want to be having to collect new data and find a way to pay for that in order to answer questions."

Tenant Protections

Glauber's comments about the proposed rule noted no increased focus on tenant protections or displacement protections for which advocates have



asked. She noted this seems at odds with another Biden administration-related initiative published in January, "The White House Blueprint for a Renters Bill of Rights," which aims to support the development of policies to promote fairness for Americans living in rental housing.

"In light of all the federal government is trying to do to protect tenants, the absence of such in the proposed rule is not really congruent with that," Glauber said.

Glauber said the lack of more progressive tenant protections such as just cause evictions, rent stabilization, rent control, right to cancel and others is problematic.

Contributing Factors

Absent from the proposed rule, Glauber said, are approximately 45 "contributing factors" from the 2015 rule used as part of an assessment tool that "program participants were required to consider for each fair housing issue they identified," the proposed rule said. Glauber called the absence a mixed bag, saying that while they could be "mind numbing and repetitive," she also said it gave leeway to apply the assessment more widely.

"Without that guidance, there could be jurisdictions doing the lowest-common denominator, a 'trees, not forest' assessment," Glauber said.

Schwartz said dropping the contributing factors analysis was a positive for the proposed rule.

"Contributing factors analysis would've been difficult and burdensome on the planning process," Schwartz said. "Not having that in there was really a big step forward and allows HUD and its grantees to focus more on achieving fair housing goals."

Practical Application

While the 2020 rule from the Trump administration dismissed the Obama-era guidance, Glauber noted that California took efforts on a statewide level to maintain the 2015 rule. This made California distinct among states.

The complexities of place-based vs. people-based rulemaking added complexity to building and preserving housing in areas of California such as Oakland. Glassman said it's a difficult balancing act between building in areas that need greater resources while also allowing people greater flexibility through voucher programs to relocate to areas that are designated as having more opportunities. Glassman noted the historic role of segregation in cutting off segments of population from opportunities.

Smitha Seshadri, executive vice president for BRIDGE Housing, which manages properties along the West Coast, including nine in Oakland, pointed to the city's Fruitvale neighborhood, a predominantly Hispanic area which is not earmarked as a high-resource, high-opportunity area under AFFH given the high poverty rate, but needs a lot funding for affordable housing so families can stay housed in their communities.

"It's those kinds of hurdles that we encounter where the policy is good to avoid a concentration of poverty, but the reality on the ground demonstrates a need to fund affordable housing in existing low-income communities to stem displacement," Seshadri said.

Seshadri praised the creativity of people-based solutions, which often provide vouchers attached to a person that allows them to relocate but still receive the benefits of AFFH initiatives, but said that can sometimes be an unworkable solution to someone such as a longtime Fruitvale native who doesn't want to leave their home.

"Don't abandon the sites that are already there," Seshadri said. "It makes a presumption that communities want to move someplace else. What about the community networks they have? What about other amenities, even a grocery store? In Fruitvale, there are a lot of Mexican grocery stores. These things take time. Those, in my opinion, are constraints."

Christina Mun, director of Oakland Housing & Community Development, said the city does the best with the limited resources it has, including the recently passed Measure U, a bond measure that

passed in November 2022 that delivers \$350 million for affordable housing. Mun said that California Debt Limit Allocation Committee (CDLAC) and the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee's (CTCAC's) interpretation of AFFH, particularly the "incredibly problematic" way CTCAC's "opportunity map" for California overlaps with historically redlined communities, can serve to exacerbate rather than alleviate the goals of AFFH.

"AFFH is a good framework to ensure that historically exclusive communities do their fair share to advance affordable housing," Mun said. "It's important that these exclusive communities step up financially to shoulder their share of our housing challenges, and it's critical policymakers refrain from giving affluent communities any special advantage on housing funding investments."

Gramlich said the proposed rule helps to address the concerns raised by Seshadri because the text of the proposed rule, unlike the 2015 rule, provides a detailed definition of "balanced approach" to AFFH. It means an approach to community planning and investment that balances a variety of actions to eliminate housing-related disparities using a combination of place-based and mobility actions and investments. In the preamble to the proposed rule, HUD supports jurisdictions' choice to engage in place-based activities, such as preserving affordable housing in particular neighborhoods while making complementary investments in other infrastructure and assets in those neighborhoods, as well as those choices that promote mobility.

Parting Thoughts

Schwartz said certainty about the rule and its future could bring stability to the housing market.

"I really think we need to come to a place where grantees feel comfortable with the mechanism being used rather than having this be a bouncing ball moving forward," Schwartz said. "If we can get something good that people aren't complaining about, we'll have something that lasts. I think that while we had a lot of concerns about Obama administration's iteration of this, the pendulum swung way too far in other direction under the Trump administration, and the product would not have gotten us to what we need to do under the Fair Housing Act."

Schwartz encouraged members of the housing community to think about the various applications of the rule, reminding them the proposed rule has an impact beyond HUD programs, including on the low-income housing tax credit.

Glassman's primary concern is enforcement of the proposed rule. She expressed concern about standards for situations that could give rise to such serious enforcement actions as eliminating HUD program funds from a government agency. There is discussion about what might not give rise to enforcement, she said, but the rule does not specify situations that could result in negative action against a participant. Glassman said the need to affirmatively further fair housing comes at all income levels.

"The concept of Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing is near and dear to many of us. It's a core part of what we do," Glassman said. "We're talking about race, disability, sex, national origin and more. We shouldn't forget that our cities and our towns are segregated at all income levels. People with disabilities have access issues irrespective of their income. That's just two examples of protected classes." \$\frac{1}{2}\$

This article first appeared in the March 2023 issue of the Novogradac Journal of Tax Credits. Reproduction of this publication in whole or in part in any form without written permission from the publisher is prohibited by law.

Notice pursuant to IRS regulations: Any discussion of U.S. federal or state tax issues contained in this article is not intended to be used, and cannot be used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code; nor is any such advice intended to be used to support the promotion or marketing of a transaction. Any discussion on tax issues reflected in the article are not intended to be construed as tax advice or to create an accountant-client relationship between the reader



[©] Novogradac 2023 - All Rights Reserved.

and Novogradac & Company LLP and/or the author(s) of the article, and should not be relied upon by readers since tax results depend on the particular circumstances of each taxpayer. Readers should consult a competent tax advisor before pursuing any tax savings strategies. Any opinions or conclusions expressed by the author(s) should not be construed as opinions or conclusions of Novogradac & Company LLP.

This editorial material is for informational purposes only and should not be construed otherwise. Advice and interpretation regarding property compliance or any other material covered in this article can only be obtained from your tax advisor. For further information visit www.novoco.com.



EDITORIAL BOARD

PUBLISHER

Michael J. Novogradac, CPA

EDITORIAL DIRECTOR

Alex Ruiz

TECHNICAL EDITORS

Thomas Boccia, CPA Matt Meeker, CPA
Chris Key, CPA John Sciarretti, CPA
Diana Letsinger, CPA Stacey Stewart, CPA

COPY

SENIOR EDITOR SENIOR MARKETING MANAGER

Brad Stanhope Teresa Garcia

SENIOR COPYEDITOR SENIOR WRITER

Mark O'Meara Nick DeCicco

CONTRIBUTING WRITERS

Jessica Balsam Evan Laugen
James Buie Warren Sebra
Kelly Gorman Helen Teal
Cindy Hamilton Christian Zavinski

Bryan Hung

ART

CREATIVE DIRECTOR

Alexandra Louie

GRAPHIC DESIGNER

Brandon Yoder

CONTACT

CORRESPONDENCE AND EDITORIAL SUBMISSIONS

Teresa Garcia

teresa.garcia@novoco.com

925.949.4232

ADVERTISING INQUIRIES

Christianna Cohen christianna.cohen@novoco.com

925.949.4216

ALL MATERIAL IN THIS PUBLICATION IS FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY AND SHOULD NOT BE CONSTRUED AS PROFESSIONAL ADVICE OFFERED BY NOVOGRADAC OR BY ANY CONTRIBUTORS TO THIS PUBLICATION.

ADVICE AND INTERPRETATION REGARDING THE LOW-INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDIT OR ANY OTHER MATERIAL COVERED IN THIS PUBLICATION CAN ONLY BE OBTAINED FROM YOUR TAX AND/OR LEGAL ADVISOR.

ADVISORY BOARD

OPPORTUNITY ZONES

Dan Altman

Glenn A. Graff

Jill Homan

Shay Hawkins

Shay Hawkins

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

APPLEGATE & THORNE-THOMSEN

JAVELIN 19 INVESTMENTS

OPPORTUNITY FUNDS ASSOCIATION

LOW-INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDITS

Jim Campbell SOMERSET DEVELOPMENT COMPANY LLC

Tom Dixon LUMENT

Richard Gerwitz CITI COMMUNITY CAPITAL Elizabeth Bland Glynn TRAVOIS INC.

Rochelle Lento DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC

John Lisella III U.S. BANCORP COMMUNITY DEV. CORP.

Derrick Lovett MBD COMMUNITY HOUSING CORP.

Rob Wasserman HUNTINGTON NATIONAL BANK.

PROPERTY COMPLIANCE

Jen Brewerton DOMINIUM
Kristen Han WNC

Michael Kotin KAY KAY REALTY CORP.

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Victor Cirilo

Flynann Janisse

Ray Landry

NEWARK HOUSING AUTHORITY

RAINBOW HOUSING

DAVIS-PENN MORTGAGE CO.

Denise Muha NATIONAL LEASED HOUSING ASSOCIATION

Monica Sussman NIXON PEABODY LLP

NEW MARKETS TAX CREDITS

Frank Altman COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT FUND

Maria Bustria-Glickman US BANK

Elaine DiPietro BLOOMING VENTURES LLC

Chimeka Gladney
Tracey Gunn Lowell
Ruth Sparrow

ENTERPRISE COMMUNITY INVESTMENT INC

U.S. BANCORP COMMUNITY DEV. CORP.

FUTURES UNLIMITED LAW PC

William Turner WELLS FARGO

HISTORIC TAX CREDITS

Irvin Henderson HENDERSON & COMPANY

Heather Buthe NATIONAL TRUST COMMUNITY INVESTMENT CORP

Donna Rodney ENTERPRISE COMMUNITY INVESTMENTS

Cindy Hamilton HERITAGE CONSULTING GROUP

RENEWABLE ENERGY TAX CREDITS

Jim Howard DUDLEY VENTURES

Elizabeth Kaiga DNV GL

Forrest Milder NIXON PEABODY LLP

© Novogradac 2023 All rights reserved. ISSN 2152-646X

Reproduction of this publication in whole or in part in any form without written permission from the publisher is prohibited by law.